

T-duality and homological mirror symmetry for toric varieties.

FLTZ.

IHMS

Thm 1.2 X_Σ be a complete toric variety defined by fan $\Sigma \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$. Then there is a quasi-equivalence:

$$\tau: \underline{\text{Perf}}_T(X_\Sigma) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Fuk}(T^*M_{\mathbb{R}}; \Lambda_\Sigma)$$

|
 $N_{\mathbb{R}} \times M_{\mathbb{R}}$

which intertwines tensor product on left hand side and \diamond on the right hand side.

In same setting, we have

$$(*) \quad \bar{\tau}: \text{Perf}(X_\Sigma) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Fuk}(T^*T_{\mathbb{R}}^V; \bar{\Lambda}_\Sigma)$$

is a quasi-embedding

As corollary, we have

$$D\text{Coh}_T(X_\Sigma) \simeq D\text{Fuk}(T^*M_{\mathbb{R}}; \Lambda_\Sigma)$$

$$(*) D\text{Coh}(X_\Sigma) \hookrightarrow D\text{Fuk}(T^*T_{\mathbb{R}}^V; \bar{\Lambda}_\Sigma)$$

Remark: The $(*)$ is a equivalence proved by
Kuwagaki.

This part is essentially the
combination of NZ and FLT.

Thm 3.1.3.2

$$K\text{-Perf}_T(X_\Sigma) \rightarrow \text{Sh}_c(M_{\mathbb{R}}; \Lambda_\Sigma)$$

is a quasi-equivalence. sending

equivariant ample line bundle \mathcal{L}_i

on X_Σ to standard constructible

sheaf $i_! \omega_{\Delta_{\vec{c}}}$ on $M_{\mathbb{R}}$

$$L_{\vec{c}} = \mathcal{O}(D_{\vec{c}})$$

$$D_{\vec{c}} = \sum_{i=1}^r l_i D_i$$

D_i corresponds to v_i generator of rays

Then $\Delta_{\vec{c}} := \{m \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \langle m, v_i \rangle \geq -c_i, i=1, \dots, r\}$

when $L_{\vec{c}}$ is ample, $\Delta_{\vec{c}}$ is a convex polytope

$$\bar{K} : \text{Perf}(X_{\Sigma}) \rightarrow \text{Sh}_c(T_{\mathbb{R}}^{\vee}, \bar{\Lambda}_{\Sigma})$$

is a quasi embedding

We define Fukaya category

$\bar{\text{Fuk}}(T^*X, \Lambda)$ where Λ is a conical

Lagrangian.

Obj: generated by Lagrangian L with
 $L^\infty \subset \Lambda^\infty$ and $\overline{\mathcal{L}(L)}$ is compact

$$\pi: T^*X \rightarrow X$$

$$L^\infty = \overline{\mathcal{L}(L)} \cap S^*X$$

Here $L: T^*X \rightarrow D^*X$

$$(x, \zeta) \rightarrow (x, \frac{\zeta}{\sqrt{1+|\zeta|^2}})$$

$$S^*X = \{(x, \zeta) \mid \|\zeta\| = 1\}$$

Mon: Same

For embedding $i: Y \rightarrow X$ we have

$i_* \mathcal{L}_Y$ standard obj in $\text{Sh}_c(X)$

and $\mathcal{L}_{Y,*} \subset T^*X$

$$\mathcal{L}_{Y,*} = T_Y^*X + \Gamma df$$

$f = \log \eta$ η nonnegative defining

function for ∂Y .

For costandard obj v, w_Y in $\text{Shc}(X)$,

we have costandard Lagrangian

$$L_{Y, !} := T_Y^* X - \Gamma_{df}$$

Thm 5.4 There is a quasi-equivalence

of A_{∞} -cats

$$\mu: \text{Shc}(M_{\mathbb{R}}; \Lambda_{\Sigma}) \simeq \text{Fuk}(T^*M_{\mathbb{R}}; i\Lambda_{\Sigma})$$

$$\text{and } \bar{\mu}: \text{Shc}(T_{\mathbb{R}}^V; \bar{\Lambda}_{\Sigma}) \simeq \text{Fuk}(T^*T_{\mathbb{R}}^V; \bar{\Lambda}_{\Sigma})$$

Then we investigate the monoidal structure.

$$\gamma_L: \text{Fuk}(T^*X) \rightarrow \text{mod}(\text{Fuk}(T^*X))^{\circ}$$

$$P \rightarrow \text{hom}(P, -)$$

Given L of $\text{Fuk}(T^*X_0 \times T^*X_1)$

we can define

$$\underline{\Psi}_L: \text{Fuk}(T^*X_0) \rightarrow \text{mod}(\text{Fuk}(T^*X_1, 1))^\circ$$

$$P \rightarrow \text{hom}_{\text{Fuk}(T^*X_0 \times T^*X_1)}(L, \alpha_{X_0}(P) \otimes -)$$

$$T^*X_0 \rightarrow T^*X_0$$

$$\alpha_{X_0}: (\lambda, \zeta) \rightarrow (\lambda, -\zeta)$$

$$\underline{\Phi}_K!: \text{Sh}_c(X_0) \rightarrow \text{Sh}_c(X_1)$$

$$\tilde{T} \rightarrow P_1! (K \otimes p_0^* \tilde{T})$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & X_0 \times X_1 & \\ p_0 \swarrow & & \searrow p_1 \\ X_0 & & X_1 \end{array}$$

Thm: [Nadler]

Let $K \in \text{Sh}_c(X_0 \times X_1)$ and its microlocalization $L = \mu_{X_0 \times X_1}(K)$. Then there is a quasi-equivalence

$$\gamma \circ \mu_{X_1} \circ \underline{\Phi}_K! \simeq \underline{\Psi}_L! \circ \mu_{X_0}$$

Thm: 3.7. Given $X_1 = X_{\Sigma_1}$, $X_2 = X_{\Sigma_2}$ and fun preserving map $f: N_1 \rightarrow N_2$, where f

is (i) $\mu: X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ $\nu: \mathcal{M}_{2;\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{1;\mathbb{R}}$

$L_\nu \simeq T_{\Gamma_2}^*(\mathcal{M}_{2;\mathbb{R}} \times \mathcal{M}_{1;\mathbb{R}})$ The following

diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \text{Perf}_{\Gamma_2}(X_2) & \xrightarrow{K} & \text{Sh}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{M}_{2;\mathbb{R}}; \mathcal{N}_2) & \xrightarrow{M} & \text{Fuk}(T^*\mathcal{M}_{2;\mathbb{R}}; \mathcal{N}_2) \\
 \downarrow \mu^* & & \downarrow \nu! & & \downarrow \bar{\Psi}_{L_\nu!} \\
 \text{Perf}_{\Gamma_1}(X_1) & \xrightarrow{K} & \text{Sh}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{M}_{1;\mathbb{R}}; \mathcal{N}_1) & \xrightarrow{M} & \text{Fuk}(T^*\mathcal{M}_{1;\mathbb{R}}; \mathcal{N}_1)
 \end{array}$$

Let G be a Lie gp (\mathcal{M}_R)

$$\nu(g, g_2) = g \cdot g_2$$

Then we define

$$L_1 \diamond L_2 := \bar{\Psi}_{L_\nu!}(L_1 \times L_2) \quad \text{in } \bar{\text{Fuk}}(T^*G)$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 T_{\Gamma_2}(G \times G) \\
 \xrightarrow{\bar{\Psi}_{L_\nu!}} \\
 \bar{\text{Fuk}}(T^*(G \times G) \times \underline{T^*G})
 \end{array}$$

Prop 3.9. The microlocal functor

μ_G satisfies

$$\mu_G(- * -) = \mu_G(-) \diamond \mu_G(-)$$

$$F_1 * F_2 = \mathbb{V}_! (F_1 \boxtimes F_2)$$

Pf: $\mu_G(F_1 * F_2) = \mu_G \circ \mathbb{V}_! (F_1 \boxtimes F_2)$

$$\stackrel{(*)}{\simeq} \mathbb{F}_{L, \mathbb{V}_!} \circ \mu_{G \times G} (F_1 \boxtimes F_2)$$

$$= \mathbb{F}_{L, \mathbb{V}_!} \circ (\mu_G(F_1) \times \mu_G(F_2))$$

$$\simeq \mu_G(F_1) \diamond \mu_G(F_2)$$

(*) is given by thm 3.5, to $K = \mathbb{C}_{T^*V}$
applying

and $\mathbb{F}_{K, \mathbb{V}_!} \simeq \mathbb{V}_!$

$$G = M_{\mathbb{R}} \quad \boxtimes \rightarrow \diamond$$

II. T-duality

Thm 3. (Equivalent homological mirror symmetry is T-duality)

Let X_Σ be a non-singular projective variety. Any equivariant line bundle $L_{\vec{c}}$ with an admissible hermitian metric h

the T-dual Lagrangian $\mathbb{L}_{\vec{c},h}$ is an object in $\text{Fuk}(T^*M_{\text{an}}, \Lambda_\Sigma)$ and

$$\mathbb{L}_{\vec{c},h} \cong \tau(L_{\vec{c}})$$

where τ is in thm 1.

$$L_{\vec{c}} = \mathcal{O}(D_{\vec{c}})$$

choose $s_i \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D_i))$

vanishing on D_i exactly.

then $S_{\mathbb{C}} := \prod_{i=1}^n S_i^{C_i}$

section of $L_{\mathbb{C}}$, Restriction of $L_{\mathbb{C}}$ to

$$\underbrace{X_{(s_0)}} = X \prod_{i=1}^n \widehat{D}_i \simeq (C^*)^n \text{ is}$$

a holomorphic framing.

Let $L_{\mathbb{C}}$ has a admissible hermitian

metric h , { real analytic / The-determinant /
defines a unitary connection
with curvature non-degenerate
closed 2-form

Let $\nabla_{L_{\mathbb{C}}, h}$ be the unique connection
on $L_{\mathbb{C}}$ determined by h . Connection
1-form with respect to unitary frame

$$S_{\mathbb{C}} / \|S_{\mathbb{C}}\|_h \text{ of } L_{\mathbb{C}} \text{ at } (s_0) \Rightarrow$$

$$\alpha = -2\sqrt{-1} \operatorname{Im}(\bar{\partial} \log \|S_{\mathbb{C}}\|_h)$$

For $x_{s,j} = (A^*)^s$ we gives coordinates

$r_j e^{i\theta_j}$, then we have $\|S_\tau\|_h$

is independent of θ_j by $T_{\mathbb{R}}$ -invariance.

$$\sqrt{-1} \alpha = 2 \operatorname{Im}(\bar{\partial} \log \|S_\tau\|_h)$$

$$= 2 \operatorname{Im} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial r_j} \log \|S_\tau\|_h (dr_j - i r_j d\theta_j) \right)$$

$$= - \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \log \|S_\tau\|_h \right) d\theta_j$$

$$y_j = \log r_j$$

$f_{\bar{c},h}(y) = -\log \|S_\tau\|_h$ is real

analytic function in y

$$\sqrt{-1} \alpha = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial f_{\bar{c},h}(y)}{\partial y_j} d\theta_j$$

$\Gamma_{\bar{c},h} \subset M_{\mathbb{R}} \times N_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the graph

of the map $N_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{R}}$ defined by

$$y \rightarrow \sqrt{-1} \alpha (p_2^{-1}(y))$$

$$p_2: \underbrace{T_{\mathbb{R}} \times N_{\mathbb{R}}}_{\cong} \rightarrow N_{\mathbb{R}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} X_{(y)} &= (\mathbb{C}^n) \\ \cong \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{R}^n \end{aligned}$$

$$H^1(T_{\mathbb{R}}; \mathbb{R}) \cong M_{\mathbb{R}}$$

$$\underbrace{T_{\mathbb{R}}^V \times N_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow N_{\mathbb{R}}}$$

In terms of coordinates y_j on $N_{\mathbb{R}}$

and y_i on $N_{\mathbb{R}}$, $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{c}, h}$ given by

$$\frac{y_j}{2\pi} = \frac{\partial f_{\tilde{c}, h}}{\partial y_j}(y)$$

If $D_{\tilde{c}'} - D_{\tilde{c}}$ is principal divisor

then $S_{\tilde{c}'} = S_{\tilde{c}} \prod_{i=1}^n t_j^{n_j}$

$$f_{\tilde{c}', h} = f_{\tilde{c}, h} - \sum_{j=1}^n n_j y_j$$

$$\frac{\partial f_{\tilde{c}', h}(y)}{\partial y_j} = \frac{\partial f_{\tilde{c}, h}(y)}{\partial y_j} - n_j$$

Therefore $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{c}', h} = \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{c}, h}$ in $\text{Fuk}(T^*M_{\mathbb{R}})$

It remains to show $\mathbb{L}_{\varepsilon, h}$ is an object in $\text{Fuk}(T^*\mathbb{M}_g, \Lambda_\Sigma)$ and

$$\mathbb{L}_{\varepsilon, h} \simeq \tau(\mathbb{L}\bar{c})$$

① \mathbb{L} is tame [NZ]

proved by estimation of differential of $f_{\varepsilon, h}$

② $\tau(\mathbb{L})$ is bounded. Later

③ $\mathbb{L}^\infty \subset \Lambda_\Sigma^\infty$ Section A.2

Pf of ②:

As $T_{\mathbb{R}}$ acts on X symplectic form

$$\omega_h := \int_1 \bar{F}_h$$

$$\text{on } X_{\text{loc}} \simeq (\mathbb{L}^*)^n$$

$$\omega_h = \int_1 dx = \sum_{j=1}^n d\left(\frac{\partial f_{\varepsilon, h}}{\partial y_j}\right) \wedge d\theta_j$$

then we have analytic moment map

$$\bar{\Phi}_{\varepsilon, h}(y, \theta) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial f_{\varepsilon, h}}{\partial y_j}(y) e^{*j}$$

Let $x_j = \frac{y_j}{2\pi}$ on $N_{\mathbb{R}}$

$$\mathbb{L}_{\bar{c}, h} = \{ (x, y) \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \times N_{\mathbb{R}} \mid x = \bar{\Phi}_{\bar{c}, h} \circ j_0(y) \}$$

$$j_0 : N_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma} \leftarrow$$

exp \mathfrak{g}_1

$$N \otimes \mathbb{R}^+ \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \simeq N \otimes \mathbb{C}^* = X_{\Sigma} - \cup D_i$$

$$\Delta_{\bar{c}} = \bar{\Phi}_{\bar{c}, h}^{-1}(X_{\Sigma})$$

$$\bar{\Phi}_{\bar{c}, h} = \bar{\Phi}_{\bar{c}, h} \circ j_0$$

$N_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \Delta_{\bar{c}}^{\circ} \hookrightarrow \sim$ diffeomorphism

$$\mathbb{L}_{\bar{c}, h} = \{ (x, \bar{\Phi}_{\bar{c}, h}^{-1}(x)) \mid x \in \Delta_{\bar{c}}^{\circ} \subset \Delta_{\bar{c}}^{\circ} \times N_{\mathbb{R}} \}$$

For $\mathbb{L}_{\bar{c}}^{-1} = \mathbb{L}_{-\bar{c}}$ we consider

$$\Delta_{-\bar{c}} = -\Delta_{\bar{c}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{L}_{-\bar{c}, h^{-1}} &= \{ (-\bar{\Phi}_{\bar{c}, h}(y), y) \mid y \in N_{\mathbb{R}} \} \\ &= \alpha(\mathbb{L}_{\bar{c}, h}) \end{aligned}$$

$$U_{\pm c, h} = \tau(L_{\pm c})$$

We show $U_{\pm c, h^{-1}} \cong \mu(\iota_* \mathbb{C}_{\Delta_{\pm c}^0})$

By showing are the same in the

Yoneda embedding

$$\gamma: \text{DFuk}(T^*M_R) \rightarrow \text{mod}(\text{DFuk}(T^*M_R))$$

$$\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \text{hom}_{\text{DFuk}(T^*M_R)}(\gamma, \mathcal{L})$$

We find a triangulation $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ of M_R containing

$$\{U_{\pm c, \pm c} \mid c \in \Sigma\}$$

$$U_{\pm c, \pm c} = \{m \in \Delta_{\pm c}^0 \mid \langle m, v_i \rangle = -c_i \Leftrightarrow v_i \in \Sigma\}$$

$$\text{E.g. } U_{\pm c, \pm c} = \Delta_{\pm c}^0$$

Yoneda modules of any obj $\gamma(L)$

is expressed in terms (sums and cones and shifts of) Yoneda modules from standards

$\gamma(\mu(x, \xi))$. So we only need to

consider values of $\text{hom}(\mu(x, \xi), L)$

$$\text{hom}_{DFuk}(T^*M_{\mathbb{R}})(L_{\{t, s\}}, L)$$

fiber $T_x^*M_{\mathbb{R}}$

as $T \in \mathcal{T}$ contractible

Let $L = \mathbb{V}_{-\tilde{c}, h^{-1}}$, consider $T \neq \underline{\delta_{-\tilde{c}}^0}$

Let $t \in T$. Then $T \cap \delta_{-\tilde{c}}^0 = \emptyset$, clearly

$$\text{hom}_{DFuk}(T^*M_{\mathbb{R}})(L_{\{t, s\}}, \mathbb{V}_{-\tilde{c}, h^{-1}}) = 0$$

Otherwise, if $T \cap \partial\Delta$ is nonempty then we

$$\text{hom}_{DFuk}(T^*M_{\mathbb{R}})(L_{\{t, s\}}, \mathbb{V}_{-\tilde{c}, h^{-1}}) = 0$$

By prop 0.9. Basically it says by some flow

we can separate those Lagrangians

Finally if $T = \delta_{-\tilde{c}}^0$, since $\mathbb{V}_{-\tilde{c}, h^{-1}}$

a graph over T , we have

$$\text{hom}_{\text{DFK}(T^*M)}(L_{\Sigma^*}, \mathbb{K}_{\Sigma, h^{-1}}) = \mathbb{C}$$

Therefore $\mathbb{K}_{\Sigma, h^{-1}} \cong \mu_*(i_* \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma^*})$

The statement $\mathbb{K}_{\Sigma, h} \cong \mathcal{L}(\Sigma)$ follows from
the compatibility of microlocalization and
Verdier duality.